The use of urinary bladder matrix in the treatment of trauma and combat casualty wound care

Treatment of combat injuries and resulting wounds can be difficult to treat due to compromised and evolving tissue necrosis, environmental contaminants, multidrug resistant microbacterial and/or fungal infections, coupled with microvascular damage and/or hypovascularized exposed vital structures. Our group has developed surgical care algorithms with identifiable salvage techniques to achieve stable, definitive wound coverage often with the aid of certain regenerative medicine biologic scaffold materials and advanced wound care to facilitate tissue coverage and healing. This case series reports on the role of urinary bladder matrix scaffolds in the wound care and reconstruction of traumatic and combat wounds. Urinary bladder matrix was found to facilitate definitive soft tissue reconstruction by establishing a neovascularized soft tissue base acceptable for second stage wound and skin coverage options within traumatic and combat-related wounds.
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The military engagements in Operations Iraqi Freedom and Enduring Freedom (OIF/OEF) have been characterized by wounds caused predominantly by ballistic and blast mechanisms of injury [1–11]. These wounds consist of massive soft tissue and orthopedic injuries secondary to the following mechanisms: damage due to pressure waves and forces exerted on bodily tissues, penetrating trauma, contamination with environmental particulates, burn-related tissue destruction and blunt trauma from being ‘thrown’ by the explosion force [12]. Combat casualty survivors often have high injury severity scores with increasing rates of concomitant injuries and multiorgan system involvement [10]. Their associated extremity wounds may exhibit major neurovascular disruptions, exposed orthopedic fracture(s) and tendinous injuries devoid of periosteum and/or paratenon, coupled with massive soft tissue volumetric loss. These critical injuries may be in continuity or disparate and tend to worsen with time due to tissue ischemia over the entire zone of injury and increased infection rates from the direct inoculation of particulate matter.

Throughout the care of our trauma patients, serial surgical debridement procedures are required to gain control of such wounds prior to advanced reconstruction and/or implementation of regenerative modalities to gain definitive wound coverage [10]. The ultimate goals of these definitive reconstruction procedures are to: achieve durable wound and/or skin coverage to recapitulate the barrier and protective roles of skin; preserve the functional capacity of extremities and residual limbs that have been affected by complex wounding mechanisms; preserve as much soft tissue, nerve, tendon, and bone as possible; and achieve stable long-term coverage, and closure of these wounds [8–10].

The magnitude and complexity of traumatic and blast wounds may require utilizing multiple techniques from the traditional reconstructive ladder/elevator, which may
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often be augmented with regenerative medicine and biologic wound care therapies in an effort to provide stable, definitive soft tissue coverage (Figure 1). It is not uncommon for a single combat casualty to undergo local wound care with negative pressure therapies, extracellular matrices (ECM) placement, secondary skin grafting, local/pedicle flaps and free tissue transfers (free flaps). Thus, military reconstructive teams have explored the use of novel regenerative modalities earlier within the treatment course of trauma care in the goal of successfully achieving definitive wound coverage and healing [10].

Traditionally, ECMs were thought to merely provide structural support for tissues; however it is now widely recognized that ECMs may have much more biologic significance. ECM scaffold materials are derived from the decellularization of many tissue sources (e.g., urinary bladder [UBM], small intestinal submucosa [SIS], dermis [D-ECM]) that arise from a number of different species (e.g., human, porcine, bovine, ovine, shark) [13–20]. ECM scaffolds consist of a tissue source specific three-dimensional arrangement of structural and functional molecules such as collagen, laminin, fibronectin, glycosaminoglycans and growth factors. This milieu of biochemical and structural signals provides a microenvironmental niche ideally suited for mediating constructive tissue remodeling that can aid in more effective wound healing.

A variety of naturally occurring scaffold materials composed of ECM have been used to support regeneration/remodeling, rather than the default fibrotic scarring, of various tissue types after injury. Importantly, the new host tissue generated in the proximity of ECM scaffold materials may be similar to, but does not perfectly recapitulate, the native tissue structure and function. The exact mechanisms of ECM scaffold mediated constructive remodeling require further elucidation. However, it is currently known that within hours of being surgically placed at a site of tissue injury, ECM scaffolds are infiltrated by host derived mononuclear cells, which facilitate proteolytic degradation of the scaffold thereby generating a variety of bioactive molecules including matricryptic peptides [19,20]. These events are important in orchestrating the complex host response that ensues – antibacterial and angiogenic activity, the migration, proliferation, and/or differentiation of endogenous multipotent progenitor cells, and modulation

Figure 1. Example of the hybrid reconstructive ladder. The reconstruction ladder describes increasingly complex soft tissue coverage techniques to achieve wound coverage. Traditional reconstruction techniques can be augmented with regenerative medicine modalities, which may facilitate staying on a lower ‘rung’ to achieve wound coverage (Reproduced with permission from www.123rf.com – an open access site with license granted to publish).

of the local immune response toward potentially anti-inflammatory macrophage phenotype [13,21–25].

Given the favorable remodeling responses facilitated by ECM scaffold materials, it is plausible that reconstruction of certain combat wounds would benefit from their use in order to obtain viable vascularized wound beds and the establishment of healthy granulation tissue. This clinical case series reports the findings of a retrospective review of traumatic and combat casualty cases at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center which utilized UBM in the wound care and reconstruction of various traumatic and combat related wounds.

Materials & methods
Traumatic and combat wound care cases utilizing UBM at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center from 2010 through 2014 were reviewed after appropriate clearances and institutional approved consent process including medical and surgical photograph use permissions. UBM is an acellular, noncross-linked, resorbable ECM biologic scaffold, available in powder (MatriStem MicroMatrix®, ACell, Inc., MD, USA) and sheet forms (MatriStem Burn and Wound Matrices, ACell, Inc.). Preoperative and serial photographs were taken of each patient’s wounds. Wound care therapies and reconstruction techniques that were used during the care of these patients were reviewed. Overall tissue remodeling outcomes including complications such as wound care and/or reconstructive failures, infections (cellulitis or abscess formation), partial or complete loss of ECMs, UBMs, grafts and/or flaps were recorded.

All wounds were treated according to the standards of care at our organization, with serial irrigation and debridement procedures to remove particulate matter and necrotic or infected tissue occurring prior to considering reconstruction. Negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) was administered often at the initial in-theater military treatment facilities and maintained during the course of serial wound treatment at our center. Serial surgical irrigation and debridement procedures were performed every 2–3 days until the patient was medically optimized and their wounds were considered ready for definitive reconstruction measures.

Wound care was approached by a multidisciplinary team with general, orthopedic and plastic and reconstructive surgery personnel participating in management. UBM was used at the discretion of the operating surgeon. UBM materials consisted of either a powder and/or sheet form and were used separately or in combination at the surgeon’s discretion. The timing of application, amount of product used and wound care regimen were also at the surgeon’s discretion and based on the injury pattern and needs of the individual patients.

Typically, the preferred surgical technique involved surgically preparing the wound bed with debridement of nonviable tissue and irrigation with copious amounts of sterile saline (minimal of 3 l or more depending on the size of the wound). After completing the aforementioned irrigation and debridement, wounds with exposed structures devoid of adequate vascularity (e.g., tendons devoid of paratenon, bone devoid of periosteum and among others) received an application of UBM powder (50 mg up to 1000 mg) to completely cover the exposed poorly vascularized vital structure with a thin visible layer of powder. The powder was then covered with a lyophilized UBM burn or wound sheet that was pre-moistened with sterile saline. To prevent the escape of the underlying and covered powder, the sheet was secured into place with 4-0 chromic suture. This technique was repeated every 3 days if the structures still appeared to lack an acceptable bed suitable for definitive coverage measures such as skin grafting. After application of UBM, the patient’s wound was given time to remodel into a viable, vascularized wound bed with healthy granulation tissue to permit secondary coverage with dermal regenerates, skin grafting and/or tissue...
Table 1. Demographics, wound types and coverage outcomes/failures of urinary bladder matrix cases reviewed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mechanism of injury</th>
<th>Body area(s) treated</th>
<th>Definitive reconstruction technique after UBM placement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>LLE tibial wound with exposed tibia and no periosteum</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Perineal and penile wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Posterior gluteal wounds</td>
<td>STSG, Flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Bilateral gluteal and high AKA wounds</td>
<td>STSG, second stage tissue expansion with local advancement flaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>RLE and knee wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>RLE and exposed Achilles tendon wounds</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Grenade blast</td>
<td>RLE ankle wound</td>
<td>UBM failure with inadequate vascularized bed formation; STSG after HBO and local wound care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Surgical wound</td>
<td>Left dorsal foot wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Avulsion wound</td>
<td>Right dorsal foot wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>AKA wound</td>
<td>Local tissue advancement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>AKA wound</td>
<td>Local tissue advancement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Dorsal hand wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand and finger wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Neck and shoulder wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand and finger wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Crush injury</td>
<td>LLE tibial wound with exposed tibia and no periosteum</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mounted IED</td>
<td>Left plantar foot and calcaneal wound</td>
<td>Amputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mounted IED</td>
<td>Ankle wound</td>
<td>UBM failure with inadequate vascularized bed formation; STSG after HBO and local wound care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Bilateral BKA wounds</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mounted IED</td>
<td>Bilateral AKA wounds</td>
<td>STSG and Flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IED blast</td>
<td>Finger wounds</td>
<td>UBM failure, revised finger amputations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Penile and perineal wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Knee wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand and finger wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Penile and perineal wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mounted IED</td>
<td>LLE wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>RLE wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>BLE BKA wounds</td>
<td>R BKA STSG and DRT; L BKA DRT and STSG, flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>LLE tibial wound with exposed tibia and no periosteum</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mechanical saw injury</td>
<td>Right hand wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AKA: Above the knee amputation; BKA: Below the knee amputation; DRT: Dermal regenerative template; F: Female; HBO: Hyperbaric oxygen therapy; IED: Improvised explosive devices; L: Left; LLE: Left lower extremity M: Male; R: Right; RLE: Right lower extremity; STSG: Split thickness skin graft; UBM: Urinary bladder matrix.
transfers (flaps). The patients were continuously monitored and their wounds were evaluated until definitive, stable coverage of the defect was successfully achieved.

**Results**

This clinical case series outlines 51 total cases as well as provides further details on four specific case examples in which UBM was utilized to treat various combat casualty wounds where prior attempts at facilitating wound healing had failed (Table 1). In general, UBM stimulated granulation tissue formation and/or directly aided in wound bed preparation by providing a neovascularized substrate to aid in re-epithelialization, secondary dermal regeneration template (DRT) placement, skin grafting and/or flap coverage. In the majority of cases (44/51 or 86%), UBM successfully stimulated granulation tissue formation and/or directly aided in wound bed preparation to aid in re-epithelialization, secondary DRT placement, skin grafting and/or flap coverage. UBM failures occurred in 7/51 cases (14%) and were most commonly associated with material losses secondary to frictional forces/shearing, debridement of early remodeled tissue, superficial wound infections or inadequate neo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mechanism of injury</th>
<th>Body area(s) treated</th>
<th>Definitive reconstruction technique after UBM placement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>LLE tibial wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Lower extremity amputation wounds</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mounted IED</td>
<td>Right BKA wound</td>
<td>Local tissue rearrangement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>AKA wound</td>
<td>Local tissue rearrangement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Penile and perineal wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Helicopter crash</td>
<td>Right lower extremity wound</td>
<td>STSG and flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Left AKA wounds</td>
<td>STSG and flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GSW</td>
<td>Left BKA wounds</td>
<td>STSG and flap coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Perineal wound</td>
<td>Local tissue rearrangement, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Hand and finger wounds</td>
<td>UBM failure on fingers, revised finger amputations, STSG to hand wound portion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pedestrian struck</td>
<td>Gluteal and thigh wounds</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Lower extremity amputation wounds</td>
<td>DRT and STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Training accident</td>
<td>Right lower extremity wound</td>
<td>UBM failure with inadequate vascularized bed formation; STSG after HBO and local wound care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Right lower extremity wound</td>
<td>UBM failure with inadequate vascularized bed formation; Flap coverage for salvage rather than STSG as anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RPG blast</td>
<td>Left BKA wounds</td>
<td>UBM failure with inadequate vascularized bed formation; Flap coverage for salvage rather than STSG as anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Left AKA wounds</td>
<td>Local tissue rearrangement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Bilateral lower extremity amputation wounds</td>
<td>Local tissue rearrangement, DRT, STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dismounted IED blast</td>
<td>Right foot wound</td>
<td>STSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IED blast</td>
<td>Left hip wound with partial flap failure</td>
<td>Closure of wound via secondary intention and re-epithelialization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AKA: Above the knee amputation; BKA: Below the knee amputation; DRT: Dermal Regenerative Template; HBO: Hyperbaric Oxygen therapy; IED: Improvised explosive devices; L: Left; R: Right; RLE: Right lower extremity; STSG: Split thickness skin graft; UBM: Urinary bladder matrix.
vascularization requiring more advanced wound care therapies measures (e.g., hyperbaric oxygen).

Case examples illustrating results & technique

Case 1

A 54-year-old female suffered an avulsion injury to the right dorsal foot which resulted in a full thickness 12 × 6 cm defect containing exposed extensor tendons without paratenon to the second through fifth toes. The wound underwent serial irrigation and debridement procedures followed by placement of 200 mg of UBM powder and a 7 × 10 cm multilayer vacuum pressed UBM sheet over the defect. After 2 weeks, an additional 200 mg of UBM and another 7 × 10 cm multilayer UBM sheet was placed in an effort to layer the defect with UBM until the wound and surrounding dorsal foot epidermis had a minimal step-off. The wound exhibited a viable soft tissue regenerate within 6 weeks following UBM placement (Figure 2A). This defect was then covered with a split thickness skin graft which has exhibited stable epidermal and dermal coverage without erosion over a 24-month period (Figure 2B).

Case 2

A 28-year-old US Service member (SM) suffered blast injuries resulting in trauma to multiple extremities including a right large soft tissue degloving injury and open elbow joint injury. Initial limb salvage reconstruction and coverage of the open elbow joint was attempted with a pedicle latissimus flap and DRT. However, the procedure was complicated by partial flap and DRT failure over the distal aspect of the elbow (Figure 3A). Exposure of devascularized tendon, especially over frequently used areas such as the elbow, is concerning as skin grafting to that area has high failure and ulceration rates due to shearing and insufficient vascularized tissue. Thus, 100 mg of UBM powder and a single 7 × 10 cm UBM sheet was utilized in an effort to stimulate formation of soft tissue over the exposed wound site (Figure 3B & C). The wound and freshly placed UBM materials, prior incorporated DRT and remaining latissimus flap were then directly covered with over 800 cm² of split thickness skin grafts (STSGs) (Figure 3D). Two weeks following the hybrid reconstruction, there was approximately 95% of STSG take with 100% viability of the STSG over the area in which UBM was placed. The hybrid salvage procedure provided stable, definitive soft tissue coverage without ulceration or wound complications throughout the 40-month follow-up period.

Case 3

A 27-year-old US SM suffered a blast which resulted in trauma to three extremities including a right below the knee amputation, a large soft tissue degloving and Gustilo–Anderson 3B injury of the left lower extremity (LLE) (Figure 4A), left open metacarpal fractures, as well as numerous facial fractures and perineal injuries. The patient was interested in limb salvage options for the lower extremity wound. After serial debridements, the LLE underwent reconstruction via the following hybrid reconstruction techniques. First, a left latissimus dorsi free flap was performed and was able to cover the proximal 2/3 of the exposed bone. The distal 1/3 of the wound and exposed bone was covered with a DRT that was placed over the tibia after drilling multiple cortical openings to aid in DRT incorporation. Unfortunately, the DRT failed leaving a significant portion of tibia bone devoid of periosteum exposed. UBM was then used as a salvage procedure; specifically, UBM powder and an overlying sheet of UBM were placed in the wound area and subsequently covered with NPWT. After three consecutive applications of the aforementioned technique, each spaced 3 days apart, a healthy granulated tissue regenerate completely covering the previous distal 1/3 of exposed tibia was obtained (Figure 4B). Subsequently, 1008 cm² of STSG was placed on the LLE – directly over the prior flap and UBM induced granulation tissue (Figure 4C). This hybrid reconstruction and salvage of the LLE has been stable (i.e., no significant wound healing issues or breakdown) for over 38 months and patient able to perform extreme athletic activities (patient is avid monoskier and competes at professional level).

Case 4

Following a dismounted blast, a 23-year-old US SM suffered significant lower extremity trauma to include a left below the knee amputation, and fractures of the right calcaneus, navicular, tibia and fibular with sig-
significant concomitant Gustilo–Anderson 3B soft tissue injuries to the proximal RLE. The reconstructive team performed a scapular free flap to the RLE for coverage of an exposed tibia fracture and placed two DRTs over the soft issue defect proximal to the flap (Figure 5A). However, on postoperative day seven, removal of the NPWT showed an 8 × 2 cm area overlying the Achilles tendon where the DTR did not take. In an effort to salvage the failed DRT, two serial applications of UBM powder (60 mg) and a 7 × 10 cm lyophilized sheet of UBM were placed in the defect area 3 days apart (Figure 5B & C). After 7 days, the previously exposed tendon developed a suitable layer of granulation tissue (Figure 5D) which permitted skin grafting for definitive coverage of the wound (Figure 5E). The hybrid salvage reconstruction has been stable for over 42 months, without any significant postoperative soft tissue or wound breakdown and his external hardware removed after healing of his fracture.

Discussion
Postoperative management of complex open wounds is a challenging task. The patients within the current case series sustained significant traumatic and combat wounds resulting in volumetric soft tissue deficits, exposed joints, and stripped paratenon, periosteum and perineurium, which are nearly devoid of functional vasculature bed. The use of UBM as a supplement to traumatic and combat wounds where prior attempts at facilitating wound healing had failed was evaluated. Overall, UBM was found to facilitate constructive remodeling of the injury site into a healthy vascularized wound bed capable of supporting further advanced reconstruction efforts, such as definitive coverage with grafts or flaps [26–28]. The

Figure 3. Case 2: mangled upper extremity. (A) RUE hybrid reconstruction case with latissimus flap and dermal regenerative template to exposed elbow defect. Note the failed flap and dermal regenerative template with exposed tendon devoid of paratenon over the elbow. (B) RUE hybrid salvage procedure with placement of urinary bladder matrix (UBM) powder over exposed tendon devoid of paratenon and the elbow wound where prior noted failed soft tissue reconstruction was apparent. (C) RUE hybrid salvage procedure with placement of UBM sheet covering prior UBM powder placement and prior to immediate skin grafting procedure. (D) RUE hybrid salvage procedure with placement of split thickness skin graft over the UBM material construct and final intraoperative appearance. (E) RUE 2 years post-UBM salvage procedure and split thickness skin graft reconstruction.
average time of wound closure varied, which was dependent on a number of factors, including the magnitude and complexity of the wound and comorbid conditions.

Currently, there exist more than 60 commercially available biologic scaffold products, derived from a wide variety of tissues both allogeneic and xenogeneic in origin, and companies that continue to commercialize new materials. Thousands of patients have been treated with biologic scaffold materials. There are multiple clinical applications and many anatomical sites that benefit from treatment with biologic scaffolds. Biologic scaffolds have been shown to facilitate limited tissue remodeling in a number of tissues in both preclinical animal models and human clinical studies [26–39]. The host response to biologic scaffold has been extensively characterized. When appropriately prepared, biologic scaffolds are rapidly infiltrated by mononuclear cells, which mediate the degradation of the scaffold over the subsequent weeks to months. During this time, biologic scaffold materials orchestrate a complex temporospatial host response that includes modulation of the innate immune response, the recruitment, proliferation and differentiation of multipotent stem/progenitor cells, and ultimately the formation of site appropriate tissue.

Biologic scaffold materials have been shown to promote angiogenesis within weeks of being surgically placed at an injury site [34,35]. As the ECM is degraded by host cells, soluble factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor can be liberated from the scaffold which may aid in this process, as vascular endothelial growth factor is known to promote key components of angiogenesis and neovascularization [30–33]. Furthermore, the benefit of proangiogenic properties has also been linked to increases in graft and flap survival [38]. Many of the traumatic injuries presented herein had compromised vascular beds (i.e., tendon exposure lacking paratenon, bone devoid of periosteum), and thus benefited from the proangiogenic effects of UBM in the preparation of a healthy vascularized wound bed for eventual definitive tertiary reconstruction techniques.

As mentioned previously, infection rates in wounded military personnel can be significantly elevated due in large part to the mechanism of blast-related exposures. Blast injuries create diffuse areas of soft tissue destruction along with bacterial impregnation into wounds that directly correlate with increase rates of infection [36]. The large areas of uncovered defects in the soft tissue only serve to increase rates of infection. Flap infections are potentially devastating as they result in increased flap failure and further morbidity to the patient and contribute to limb loss or more proximal amputation revision rates [37]. Biologic scaffolds have been shown to possess antimicrobial properties. Following the direct inoculation of the pathogens *Staphylococcus Aureus* and *Escherichia Coli*, biologic scaffolds have been shown to inhibit their growth for 24 and 9 h, respectively [38]. Furthermore, biologic scaffolds have been shown to have significantly lower bacterial loads, decreased rates of antibiotic use and negative joint cultures compared with synthetic mesh following direct inoculation of *S. Aureus* after joint reconstruction in dogs [21]. These antimicrobial attributes of biologic scaffolds make them suitable materials for use prior to definitive reconstruction procedures, particularly in the military trauma patient.

There are several advantages to using UBM to treat acute and chronic wounds when compared to other therapies. Compared to traditional flap reconstruction, pilonidal disease treated with UBM resulted in shorter

---

**Figure 4. Case 5. (A)** Left lower extremity (LLE) blast-related trauma showing severe soft tissue degloving and grade 3B exposed tibial fracture prior to limb salvage. Note significant portion of tibia devoid of periosteum. **(B)** LLE reconstruction after free latissimus flap placed over the proximal half and urinary bladder matrix regenerate over the distal half of prior exposed tibia. Flap covers superior 2/3 of exposed bone and distal 1/3 is soft tissue regenerate secondary to urinary bladder matrix scaffold placement showing well vascularized tissue bed establishment with coverage of exposed bone. The urinary bladder matrix aided in establishing vascularized bed favorable for skin grafting. **(C)** LLE reconstruction appearance 1 month after split thickness skin graft over hybrid reconstruction salvage and urinary bladder matrix regenerate.
Figure 5. Case 4: mangled right lower extremity. (A) Right lower extremity (RLE) hybrid reconstruction illustrating scapular free flap for distal tibia fracture coverage (fracture stabilized by Taylor Spatial Frame) and dermal regenerative template coverage of remaining significant soft tissue defect. Note the exposed tendon where the dermal regenerative template failed to incorporate secondary to insufficient paratenon and vascularized tissue base. (B) RLE hybrid reconstruction salvage with placement of urinary bladder matrix (UBM) powder over exposed tendon. (C) RLE hybrid reconstruction salvage with placement of UBM sheet over the powder and prior exposed tendon. A total of two applications of UBM powder and sheets was performed 3 days apart with negative pressure therapy over the UBM constructs. (D) RLE hybrid reconstruction salvage after 7 days and two serial applications of UBM powder and sheets. Note the visible layer of vascularized granulation tissue base acceptable for skin grafting. (E) RLE salvage reconstruction illustrating complete take of split thickness skin graft and viable, durable coverage of prior exposed tendon. Patient RLE hybrid salvage was successful with no failures or soft tissue breakdown during his postoperative recovery and rehabilitation course.

duration of therapy, hospital stay and complication rate [39,40]. UBM has also been used to successfully salvage failed regional flaps after oncologic resection [41]. However, to date, there is a paucity of evidence to demonstrate whether UBM performs better than traditional dressings or other biologic adjunctive therapies given the inherent confounding factors associated with comparing treatment modalities between patients.

Despite the improved outcomes observed with the use of UBM for reconstructive purposes, multiple limitations to our case series exist. All of the definitive reconstructive treatments took place at one institution, but prior to arrival each wounded military member received varying numbers of surgical procedures, antibiotics and debridements from multiple providers. The primary mechanism of injury was improvised explosive devices blasts, but the injury pattern and extent of tissue loss was highly variable and unique to each individual.

Utilizing the beneficial remodeling aspects of an ECM scaffold material, we have effectively converted full thickness soft tissue wounds into partial thickness wounds with re-establishment and restoration of subepidermal and dermal-replacement structures. These restored subepidermal soft tissue and elements have permitted the application of skin grafts as well as regenerative cell-based therapies including spray skin technologies to allow for re-epithelialization of these partial thickness wounds into stable, multilayered skin regenerates that have proven to be durable and viable over time in various clinical applications [42].
**Future perspective**

The field of Regenerative Medicine has developed strategies that facilitate the restoration of form and function to damaged tissues. As discussed herein, the use of UBM, an ECM-derived biologic scaffold, can be used to support site appropriate constructive tissue remodeling of traumatic and combat related extremity injuries. While these results are promising, additional prospective, randomized and controlled studies are needed to build upon this success. Furthermore, as our understanding of the mechanisms which underlie biologic scaffold mediated constructive remodeling improves and molecular targets are identified, rationally designed, next generation materials can be designed to facilitate improved tissue form/function and patient quality of life.
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**Executive summary**

- War-related trauma can result in complex soft tissue wounds requiring regenerative medicine modalities and appropriate wound care measures. Traumatic wounds may be complicated by exposure of vital structures devoid of well vascularized adjacent tissue.
- Regenerative medicine therapies along with traditional soft tissue coverage techniques play a role in treating complex wounds.
- Urinary bladder matrix (UBM) is an acellular, noncross-linked, resorbable, biologically-derived extracellular matrix (ECM) scaffold that can aid in establishing a neovascularized wound bed in certain wounds.
- The use of UBM, in combination with other reconstructive techniques, has been demonstrated to be a successful adjunct in the reconstructive algorithm for complex traumatic wound reconstruction and limb salvage procedures.

**Aims**

- Due to the destructive nature of low- and high-energy wounding mechanisms, massive soft tissue defects are encountered at ever increasing rates. Treatment of wounds secondary to war-related trauma requires extensive surgical care algorithms with complex salvage techniques to accomplish stable, definitive wound coverage. Commonly, exposed at-risk structures, such as tendons, nerves, blood vessels and bone, require the creation of durable, vascularized coverage to facilitate healing. The goal is to provide a viable wound environment to achieve successful wound control and/or coverage in order to allow the patient to begin rehabilitation. This clinical case series reports on the regenerative potential of urinary bladder matrix (UBM) scaffolds in the reconstruction of traumatic combat wounds.

**Materials & methods**

- A retrospective review of combat casualty cases employing UBM for the treatment of traumatic wounds was performed. All cases reviewed were performed at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, USA between 2010 and 2014. UBM is an acellular, noncross-linked, resorbable ECM biologic scaffold, available in powder and sheet forms. The data collected and analyzed during the retrospective review included: patient demographics, injury sites, number and type of UBM treatments, complications and overall tissue remodeling outcome.

**Results**

- This clinical case series details a number of examples in which UBM was utilized to treat various combat casualty wounds. UBM was found to facilitate granulation tissue formation, a neovascularized wound bed, and directly aided in wound bed preparation for re-epithelialization, secondary dermal regeneration template placement, skin grafting and/or flap coverage.

**Conclusion**

- The use of regenerative medicine modalities such as UBM derived ECMs in the management of combat casualties continues to expand. The incorporation of UBM derived ECMs in surgical treatment algorithms can facilitate achieving stable wounds, which leads to improve outcomes. The current report illustrates the ability of UBM to facilitate definitive soft tissue reconstruction via establishment of neovascularized wound beds acceptable for second stage wound and skin coverage options within traumatic and combat-related wounds.
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